arXiv:1109.3433v3 [math.CO] 5 Dec 2011

# Loose Laplacian spectra of random hypergraphs

Linyuan Lu [*] Xing Peng [†]

December 6, 2011

**Abstract**

Let $H = (V, E)$ be an $r$-uniform hypergraph with the vertex set $V$ and the edge set $E$. For $1 \leq s \leq r/2$, we define a weighted graph $G^{(s)}$ on the vertex set $\binom{V}{s}$ as follows. Every pair of $s$-sets $I$ and $J$ is associated with a weight $w(I, J)$, which is the number of edges in $H$ passing through $I$ and $J$ if $I \cap J = \emptyset$, and 0 if $I \cap J \neq \emptyset$. The $s$-th Laplacian $\mathcal{L}^{(s)}$ of $H$ is defined to be the normalized Laplacian of $G^{(s)}$. The eigenvalues of $\mathcal{L}^{(s)}$ are listed as $\lambda_0^{(s)}, \lambda_1^{(s)}, \ldots, \lambda_{\binom{n}{s}-1}^{(s)}$ in non-decreasing order. Let $\bar{\lambda}^{(s)}(H) = \max_{i \neq 0}\{|1 - \lambda_i^{(s)}|\}$. The parameters $\bar{\lambda}^{(s)}(H)$ and $\lambda_1^{(s)}(H)$, which were introduced in our previous paper, have a number of connections to the mixing rate of high-ordered random walks, the generalized distances/diameters, and the edge expansions.

For $0 < p < 1$, let $H^r(n, p)$ be a random $r$-uniform hypergraph over $[n] := \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, where each $r$-set of $[n]$ has probability $p$ to be an edge independently. For $1 \leq s \leq r/2$, $p(1 - p) \gg \frac{\log^4 n}{n^{r-s}}$, and $1 - p \gg \frac{\log n}{n^2}$, we prove that almost surely

$$\bar{\lambda}^{(s)}(H^r(n, p)) \leq \frac{s}{n - s} + (3 + o(1))\sqrt{\frac{1 - p}{\binom{n-s}{r-s}p}}.$$

We also prove that the empirical distribution of the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{L}^{(s)}$ for $H^r(n, p)$ follows the Semicircle Law if $p(1 - p) \gg \frac{\log^{1/3} n}{n^{r-s}}$ and $1 - p \gg \frac{\log n}{n^{2+2r-2s}}$.

## 1 Introduction

The spectrum of the adjacency matrix (and/or the Laplacian matrix) of a random graph was well-studied in the literature [1, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 21]. Given a graph $G$, let $\mu_1(G), \ldots, \mu_n(G)$ be the eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix of $G$ in the non-decreasing order, and $\lambda_0(G), \ldots, \lambda_{n-1}(G)$ be the eigenvalues of (normalized) Laplacian matrix of $G$ respectively. Let $G(n, p)$ be the Edős-Rényi random graph model. Füredi and Komlós [21] showed that if $np(1-p) \gg \log^6 n$ then almost surely $\mu_n = (1+o(1))np$ and $\max\{-\mu_1, \mu_{n-1}\} \leq (2 + o(1))\sqrt{np(1 - p)}$. The results are extended to sparse random graphs [17, 25] and general random matrices [15, 21]. Alon, Krivelevich, and Vu [1] proved the concentration of the $s$-th largest eigenvalue of a random symmetric matrix with independent random entries of absolute value at most 1. Friedman (in a series of papers [18, 19, 20]) proved that the second largest eigenvalue of random $d$-regular graphs is almost surely $(2 + o(1))\sqrt{d - 1}$ for any $d \geq 4$. Chung, Lu, and Vu [11] studied the Laplacian eigenvalues of random graphs with given expected degrees; their results were supplemented by Coja-Oghlan [13, 14] for much sparser random graphs.

---

[*] University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC 29208, (`lu@math.sc.edu`). This author was supported in part by NSF grant DMS 1000475.

[†] University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC 29208, (`pengx@mailbox.sc.edu`).This author was supported in part by NSF grant DMS 1000475.

In this paper, we study the spectra of the Laplacians of random hypergraphs. Laplacians for regular hypergraphs were first introduced by Chung [5] using the homology approach. Rodríguez [28, 29] treated a hypergraph as a multi-edge graph and then defined its Laplacian to be the Laplacian of the corresponding multi-edge graph. Inspired by these work, we [26] introduced the generalized Laplacian eigenvalues of hypergraphs through high-ordered random walks. Let $H = (V, E)$ be an $r$-uniform hypergraph on $n$ vertices. We can associate $r - 1$ Laplacians $\mathcal{L}^{(s)}$ $(1 \leq s \leq r - 1)$ to $H$; roughly speaking, $\mathcal{L}^{(s)}$ captures the incidence relations between $s$-sets and edges in $H$. Our definition of the Laplacian at the spacial case $s = 1$ is the same as the Laplacian considered by Rodríguez [28, 29]. The $s$-th Laplacian is *loose* if $1 \leq s \leq r/2$, and is *tight* if $r/2 < s \leq r - 1$. Here we consider only the spectra of loose Laplacians.

For $1 \leq s \leq r/2$, we consider an auxiliary weighted graph $G^{(s)}$ defined as follows: the vertex set of $G^{(s)}$ is $\binom{V}{s}$ while the weight function $W\colon \binom{V}{s} \times \binom{V}{s} \to \mathbb{Z}$ is defined as

$$W(S,T) = \begin{cases} |\{F \in E(H) \colon S \cup T \subset F\}| & \text{if } S \cap T = \emptyset; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

The *s-th Laplacian* of $H$, denoted by $\mathcal{L}^{(s)}$, is the normalized Laplacian of $G^{(s)}$. For any $s$-set $S$, let $d_S$ be the number of edges in $H$ passing through $S$; the degree of $S$ in $G^{(s)}$ is $\binom{r-s}{s} d_S$. Let $D$ be the diagonal matrix of the degrees $\{d_S\}$ and $W$ be the weight matrix $\{w(S,T)\}$. Note that $T := \binom{r-s}{s} D$ is the diagonal matrix of degrees in $G^{(s)}$. We have

$$\mathcal{L}^{(s)} = I - T^{-1/2} W T^{-1/2}. \tag{2}$$

The eigenvalues of $\mathcal{L}^{(s)}$ are listed as $\lambda_0^{(s)}, \lambda_1^{(s)}, \ldots, \lambda_{\binom{n}{s}-1}^{(s)}$ in non-decreasing order. We have

$$0 = \lambda_0^{(s)} \leq \lambda_1^{(s)} \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_{\binom{n}{s}-1}^{(s)} \leq 2. \tag{3}$$

The first non-trivial eigenvalue $\lambda_1^{(s)} > 0$ if and only if $G^{(s)}$ is connected. When this occurs, we say $H$ is *s-connected*. The diameter of $G^{(s)}$ is called the *s-th diameter* of $H$. The largest eigenvalue $\lambda_{\binom{n}{s}-1}^{(s)}$ is also denoted by $\lambda_{max}^{(s)}$. The (Laplacian) spectral radius, denoted by $\bar{\lambda}^{(s)}$, is the maximum of $1 - \lambda_1^{(s)}$ and $\lambda_{max}^{(s)} - 1$.

This definition differs slightly with the one in [26], where the vertex set of the auxiliary graph (denoted by $G^{(s)'}$) is the set of all distinct $s$-tuples instead. Note that $G^{(s)'}$ is the blow-up of $G^{(s)}$. Their Laplacian spectra differ only by the multiplicity of 1's. Therefore, two different definitions give the same values of $\lambda_1^{(s)}$, $\lambda_{max}^{(s)}$, and $\bar{\lambda}^{(s)}$.

For different $s$, the following inequalities were proved in [26].

$$\lambda_1^{(1)} \geq \lambda_1^{(2)} \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_1^{(\lfloor r/2 \rfloor)}; \tag{4}$$

$$\lambda_{\max}^{(1)} \leq \lambda_{\max}^{(2)} \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_{\max}^{(\lfloor r/2 \rfloor)}. \tag{5}$$

The $s$-th Laplacian has a number of connections to the mixing rate of high-ordered random walks, the generalized distances/diameters, and the edge expansions. Here we list some applications, which are similar to results in [26], and results for graphs [4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 12].

**Random $s$-Walks:** The mixing rate of the random $s$-walk on $H$ is at most $\bar{\lambda}^{(s)}$.

**The $s$-Diameter:** The $s$-diameter of $H$ is at most

$$\left\lceil \frac{\log \frac{|E(H)|\binom{r}{s}}{\delta}}{\log \frac{\lambda^{(s)}_{\max}+\lambda^{(s)}_1}{\lambda^{(s)}_{\max}-\lambda^{(s)}_1}} \right\rceil.$$

Here $\delta = \min_{S\in\binom{V}{s}} d_S$ is the minimum degree among all $s$-sets.

**Edge expansion:** For $1 \leq t \leq s \leq \frac{r}{2}$, $\mathcal{S} \subset \binom{V}{t}$, and $\mathcal{T} \subset \binom{V}{t}$, define

$$E(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{T}) = \{F \in E(H)\colon \exists S \in \mathcal{S}, \exists T \in \mathcal{T} \text{ such that } S \cap T = \emptyset, \text{ and } S \cup T \subset F\},$$

$$e(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{T}) = \frac{|E(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{T})|}{\left|E(\binom{V}{s},\binom{V}{t})\right|},$$

$$e(\mathcal{S}) = \frac{\sum_{S\in\mathcal{S}} d_S}{\sum_{S\in\binom{V}{s}} d_S},$$

$$e(\mathcal{T}) = \frac{\sum_{T\in\mathcal{T}} d_T}{\sum_{T\in\binom{V}{t}} d_T}.$$

Then we have

$$|e(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{T}) - e(\mathcal{S})e(\mathcal{T})| \leq \bar{\lambda}^{(s)}\sqrt{e(\mathcal{S})e(\mathcal{T})e(\bar{\mathcal{S}})e(\bar{\mathcal{T}})}.$$

The proofs of these claims are very similar to those in [26] and are omitted here.

Our first result is the eigenvalues of the $s$-th Laplacian of the complete $r$-uniform hypergraph $K^r_n$.

**Theorem 1** *Let $K^r_n$ be the complete $r$-uniform hypergraph on $n$ vertices. For $1 \leq s \leq r/2$, the eigenvalues of $s$-th Laplacian of $K^r_n$ are given by*

$$1 - \frac{(-1)^i\binom{n-s-i}{s-i}}{\binom{n-s}{s}} \text{ with multiplicity } \binom{n}{i} - \binom{n}{i-1} \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq s.$$

Here we point out an application of this theorem to the celebrated Erdős-Ko-Rado Theorem, which states "if the $n \geq 2s$, then the size of the maximum intersecting family of $s$-sets in $[n]$ is at most $\binom{n-1}{s-1}$." (The theorem was originally proved by Erdős-Ko-Rado [16] for sufficiently large $n$; the simplest proof was due to Katona [24].) Here we present a proof adapted from Calderbank-Frankl [2], where they use the eigenvalues of Kneser graph instead. (The relation between $\mathcal{L}^{(s)}(K^r_n)$ and the Laplacian of the Kneser graph is explained in section 2.)

It suffices to show for any intersecting family $U$ of $s$-sets, $|U| \leq \binom{n-1}{s-1}$. Note that $U$ is an independent set of $G^{(s)}(K^r_n)$. Restricting to $U$, $\mathcal{L}^{(s)}(K^r_n)$ becomes an identity matrix; whose eigenvalues are all equal to 1. By Cauchy's interlace theorem, we have

$$\lambda^{(s)}_k \leq 1 \leq \lambda^{(s)}_{\binom{n}{s}-|U|+k} \tag{6}$$

for $0 \leq k \leq |U|-1$. Let $N^+$ (or $N^-$) be the number of eigenvalues of $\mathcal{L}^{(s)}(K^r_n)$ which is $\geq 1$ (or $\leq 1$) respectively. Inequality (6) implies that $|U| \leq N^+$ and $|U| \leq N^-$. By Theorem 1, $N^+ = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor (s-1)/2\rfloor}\left(\binom{n}{2i+1} - \binom{n}{2i}\right)$ and $N^- = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor s/2\rfloor}\left(\binom{n}{2i} - \binom{n}{2i-1}\right)$. We have

$$|U| \leq \min\{N^+, N^-\} = \sum_{i=0}^{s-1}(-1)^{s-1-i}\binom{n}{i} = \binom{n-1}{s-1}.$$

For $0 < p < 1$, let $H^r(n,p)$ be a random $r$-uniform hypergraph over $[n] = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, where each $r$-set of $[n]$ has probability $p$ to be an edge independently. We can estimate the Laplacian spectrum of $H^r(n,p)$ using the Laplacian spectrum of $K_n^r$ as follows.

**Theorem 2** *Let $H^r(n,p)$ be a random $r$-uniform hypergraph. For $1 \leq s \leq r/2$, if $p(1-p) \gg \frac{\log^4 n}{n^{r-s}}$ and $1 - p \gg \frac{\log n}{n^2}$, then almost surely the $s$-th spectral radius $\bar{\lambda}^{(s)}(H^r(n,p))$ satisfies*

$$\bar{\lambda}^{(s)}(H^r(n,p)) \leq \frac{s}{n-s} + (3 + o(1)) \sqrt{\frac{1-p}{\binom{n-s}{r-s} p}}. \tag{7}$$

*Moreover, for $1 \leq k \leq \binom{n}{s} - 1$, almost surely we have*

$$|\lambda_k^{(s)}(H^r(n,p)) - \lambda_k^{(s)}(K_n^r)| \leq (3 + o(1)) \sqrt{\frac{1-p}{\binom{n-s}{r-s} p}}. \tag{8}$$

Note that $G(n,p)$ is a special case of $H^r(n,p)$ with $r = 2$. By choosing $s = 1$, Theorem 2 implies that

$$\bar{\lambda}(G(n,p)) \leq (3 + o(1)) \sqrt{\frac{1-p}{(n-1)p}} \quad \text{for } p(1-p) \gg \frac{\log^4 n}{n}. \tag{9}$$

Chung, Lu, and Vu's result[11], when restricted to $G(n,p)$, implies

$$\bar{\lambda}(G(n,p)) \leq (4 + o(1)) \frac{1}{\sqrt{np}} \quad \text{for } 1 - \epsilon \geq p \gg \frac{\log^6 n}{n}. \tag{10}$$

Inequality (9) has a smaller constant and works for a larger range of $p$ than inequality (10).

Füredi and Komlós [21] proved the empirical distribution of the eigenvalues of $G(n,p)$ follows the Semicircle Law. Chung, Lu, and Vu [11] proved a similar result for the random graphs with given expected degrees. Here we prove a similar result for random hypergraphs.

**Theorem 3** *For $1 \leq s \leq r/2$, if $p(1-p) \gg \frac{\log^{1/3} n}{n^{r-s}}$ and $1 - p \gg \frac{\log n}{n^{2+2r-2s}}$, then almost surely the empirical distribution of eigenvalues of the $s$-th Laplacian of $H^r(n,p)$ follows the Semicircle Law centered at 1 and with radius $(2 + o(1))\sqrt{\frac{1-p}{\binom{r-s}{s}\binom{n-s}{r-s}p}}$.*

**Remark 1** *The proof of Theorem 3 actually implies the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{L}^{(s)}(H^r(n,p)) - \mathcal{L}^{(s)}(K_n^r)$ follows the Semicircle Law centered at 0 and with radius $(2 + o(1))\sqrt{\frac{1-p}{\binom{r-s}{s}\binom{n-s}{r-s}p}}$. Thus we have*

$$\max_{1 \leq k \leq \binom{n}{s} - 1} |\lambda_k^{(s)}(H^r(n,p)) - \lambda_k^{(s)}(K_n^r)| \geq \left( \frac{2}{\sqrt{\binom{r-s}{s}}} + o(1) \right) \sqrt{\frac{1-p}{\binom{n-s}{r-s} p}}. \tag{11}$$

*This shows that the upper bound of $|\lambda_k^{(s)}(H^r(n,p)) - \lambda_k^{(s)}(K_n^r)|$ in Theorem 2 is best up to a constant multiplicative factor.*

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we introduce the notation and prove some basic lemmas. We will prove Theorem 1 in section 3 and Theorem 2 in section 4.

# 2 Notation and Lemmas

## 2.1 Laplacian eigenvalues of hypergraphs

Let $H = (V, E)$ be an $r$-uniform hypergraph. For any subset $S$ ($|S| < r$), the degree of $S$, denoted by $d_S$, is the number of edges passing through $S$. For each $1 \leq s \leq r/2$, we associate a weighted graph $G^{(s)}$ on the vertex set $\binom{V}{s}$ to $H$ as follows. Every pair of $s$-sets $S$ and $T$ is associated with a weight $w(S, T)$, which is given by

$$w(S,T) = \begin{cases} d_{S\cup T} & \text{if } S \cap T = \emptyset, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise .} \end{cases}$$

The $s$-th Laplacian $\mathcal{L}^{(s)}$ of $H$ is defined to be the normalized Laplacian of $G^{(s)}$. The degree of $S$ in $G^{(s)}$ is $\sum_T w(S,T) = \binom{r-s}{s} d_S$.

We assume that the $s$-sets in $\binom{V}{s}$ are ordered alphabetically. Let $N := \binom{n}{s}$; all square matrices considered in the paper have the dimension $N \times N$ and all vectors have dimension $N$. Let $W := (W(S,T))$ be the weight matrix, $D$ be the diagonal matrix with diagonal entries $D(S,S) = d_S$, $\mathbf{d}$ be the column vector with entries $d_S$ at position $S \in \binom{V}{S}$, $J$ be the square matrix of all 1's, and $\mathbf{1}$ be the column vector of all 1's. Let $T := \binom{r-s}{s} D$; here $T$ is the diagonal matrix of degrees in $G^{(s)}$. Then, we have

$$\mathcal{L}^{(s)} = I - T^{-1/2} W T^{-1/2}.$$

We list the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{L}^{(s)}$ as

$$0 = \lambda_0^{(s)} \leq \lambda_1^{(s)}, \ldots, \lambda_{\binom{n}{s}-1}^{(s)} \leq 2.$$

We aim to compute the spectral radius $\bar{\lambda}^{(s)}(H) = \max_{i\neq 0} |1 - \lambda_i^{(s)}|$. Let $\mathrm{vol}^{(s)}(H) := \sum_{S\in\binom{V}{s}} d_s$ and $\phi_0 := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathrm{vol}^{(s)}(H)}} D^{1/2}\mathbf{1}$. Note that $\phi_0$ is the unit eigenvector corresponding to the trivial eigenvalue 0 of $\mathcal{L}^{(s)}$.

We are ready to prove theorem 1.

**Proof of Theorem 1:** We can express $\mathcal{L}^{(s)}(K_n^r)$ using the following notation. The Kneser graph $K(n,s)$ is a graph over the vertex set $\binom{[n]}{s}$; two $s$-sets $S$ and $T$ form an edge of $K(n,s)$ if and only if $S \cap T = 0$. Let $K$ be the adjacency matrix of $K(n,s)$; the eigenvalues of $K$ are $(-1)^i\binom{n-s-i}{s-i}$ with multiplicity $\binom{n}{i} - \binom{n}{i-1}$ for $0 \leq i \leq s$ (see [22]). Note that $K(n,s)$ is a regular graph; so the Laplacian eigenvalues can be determined from the eigenvalues of its adjacency matrix. We observe that the associated weighted graph $G^{(s)}$ for the complete $r$-uniform hypergraph $K_n^r$ is essentially the Kneser graph with each edge associated with a weight $\binom{n-2s}{r-2s}$. Note that the multiplicative factor $\binom{n-2s}{r-2s}$ is canceled after normalization. The $\mathcal{L}^{(s)}$ (for $K_n^r$) is exactly the Laplacian of Kneser graph. Hence,

$$\mathcal{L}^{(s)}(K_n^r) = I - \frac{1}{\binom{n-s}{s}} K.$$

Thus, the eigenvalues of $s$-th Laplacian of $K_n^r$ are given by

$$1 - \frac{(-1)^i\binom{n-s-i}{s-i}}{\binom{n-s}{s}} \text{ with multiplicity } \binom{n}{i} - \binom{n}{i-1} \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq s.$$

□

**Remark 2** *For $1 \leq s \leq r/2$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_1^{(s)}(K_n^r) &= 1 - \frac{s(s-1)}{(n-s)(n-s-1)}, && (12)\\
\lambda_{max}^{(s)}(K_n^r) &= 1 + \frac{s}{n-s}, && (13)\\
\bar{\lambda}^{(s)}(K_n^r) &= \frac{s}{n-s}. && (14)
\end{aligned}$$

## 2.2 Random hypergraphs

Let $H^r(n,p)$ be a random $r$-uniform hypergraph over the vertex set $V = [n]$ and each $r$-set has probability $p$ to be an edge independently. We would like to bound the spectral radius of the $s$-th Laplacian of $H^r(n,p)$ for $1 \leq s \leq r/2$.

For any $F \in \binom{V}{r}$, let $X_F$ be the random indicator variable for $F$ being an edge in $H^r(n,p)$; all $X_F$'s are independent to each other. For any $S, T \in \binom{V}{s}$, we have

$$W(S,T) = \begin{cases} \sum_{\substack{F \in \binom{n}{r} \\ S \cup T \subset F}} X_F & \text{if } S \cap T = \emptyset; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus,

$$\mathrm{E}(W(S,T)) = \begin{cases} \binom{n-2s}{r-2s} p & \text{if } S \cap T = \emptyset; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

The degree $d_S = \sum_{S \subset F \in \binom{V}{r}} X_F$; we have $\mathrm{E}(d_S) = \binom{n-s}{r-s} p$. For simplicity, let $d := \binom{n-s}{r-s} p$.

We use the following Lemma to compare the eigenvalues of two matrices.

**Lemma 1** *Given any two $(N \times N)$-Hermitian matrices $A$ and $B$, for $1 \leq k \leq N$, let $\mu_k(A)$ (or $\mu_k(B)$) be the $k$-th eigenvalues of $A$ (or $B$) in the increasing order. We have*

$$|\mu_k(A) - \mu_k(B)| \leq \|A - B\|.$$

**Proof:** By the Min-Max Theorem (see [27]), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_k(A) &= \min_{S_k} \max_{x \in S_k, \|x\|=1} x' A x, \\
\mu_k(B) &= \min_{S_k} \max_{x \in S_k, \|x\|=1} x' B x.
\end{aligned}$$

where the minimum is taken over all $k$-th dimensional subspace $S_k \subset \mathbb{R}^N$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_k(A) &= \min_{S_k} \max_{x \in S_k, \|x\|=1} x' A x \\
&= \min_{S_k} \max_{x \in S_k, \|x\|=1} (x' B x + x'(A-B)x) \\
&\leq \min_{S_k} \max_{x \in S_k, \|x\|=1} (x' B x + \|A - B\|) \\
&= \mu_k(B) + \|A - B\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can show $\mu_k(A) \geq \mu_k(B) - \|A - B\|$. The proof of the Lemma is finished. □

Our idea is to bound the spectral norm of the difference of $\mathcal{L}^{(s)}(H^r(n,p))$ and $\mathcal{L}^{(s)}(K_n^r)$. Let $M := \mathcal{L}^{(s)}(K_n^r) - \mathcal{L}^{(s)}(H^r(n,p)) = T^{-1/2} W T^{-1/2} - \frac{1}{\binom{n-s}{s}} K$. We write $M = M_1 + M_2 +$

$M_3 + M_4$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
M_1 &= \frac{1}{\binom{r-s}{s}} \left(D^{-1/2}(W - \mathrm{E}(W))D^{-1/2} - d^{-1}(W - \mathrm{E}(W))\right),\\
M_2 &= \frac{1}{\binom{r-s}{s}d}(W - \mathrm{E}(W)),\\
M_3 &= \frac{1}{\binom{r-s}{s}} D^{-1/2}\mathrm{E}(W)D^{-1/2} - \frac{d}{\binom{n}{s}} D^{-1/2}JD^{-1/2} - \frac{1}{\binom{n-s}{s}}K + \frac{1}{\binom{n}{s}}J,\\
M_4 &= \frac{1}{\binom{n}{s}}(dD^{-1/2}JD^{-1/2} - J).
\end{aligned}$$

By the triangular inequality of matrix norms, we have

$$\|M\| \le \|M_1\| + \|M_2\| + \|M_3\| + \|M_4\|.$$

Through this paper, the norm of any square matrix is the spectral norm. We would like to bound $\|M_i\|$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. We use the following Chernoff inequality.

**Theorem 4** *[3] Let $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ be independent random variables with*

$$\Pr(X_i = 1) = p, \qquad \Pr(X_i = 0) = 1 - p.$$

*We consider the sum $X = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$, with expectation $\mathrm{E}(X) = np$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\textit{(Lower tail)} &\Pr(X \le \mathrm{E}(X) - \lambda) &\le e^{-\lambda^2/2\mathrm{E}(X)},\\
&\textit{(Upper tail)} &\Pr(X \ge \mathrm{E}(X) + \lambda) &\le e^{-\frac{\lambda^2}{2(\mathrm{E}(X)+\lambda/3)}}.
\end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2** *Suppose $d \ge \log N$. With probability at least $1 - \frac{1}{N^2}$, we have $d_S \in (d - 3\sqrt{d\log N}, d + 3\sqrt{d \log N})$ for all $S \in \binom{V}{s}$.*

**Proof:** Note $d_s = \sum_{F: S\subset F} X_F$ and $\mathrm{E}(d_S) = d$. Applying the lower tail of Chernoff's inequality with $\lambda = 3\sqrt{\mathrm{E}(X)\log N}$, we have

$$\Pr\left(X - \mathrm{E}(X) \le -\lambda\right) \le e^{-\lambda^2/2\mathrm{E}(X)} \le \frac{1}{N^{9/2}}.$$

Applying the upper tail of Chernoff's inequality with $\lambda = 3\sqrt{\mathrm{E}(X)\log N}$, we have

$$\Pr\left(X - \mathrm{E}(X) \ge \lambda\right) \le e^{-\frac{\lambda^2}{2(\mathrm{E}(X)+\lambda/3)}} \le \frac{1}{N^{27/8}}.$$

The probability that $d_S \notin (d - 3\sqrt{d\log N}, d + 3\sqrt{d\log N})$ is at most $\frac{1}{N^3}$. Thus, with probability at least $1 - \frac{1}{N^2}$, we have $d_S \in (d - 3\sqrt{d\log N}, d + 3\sqrt{d\log N})$ for all $S \in \binom{V}{s}$. □

For convenience, let $d_{min} := d - 3\sqrt{d\log N}$, $d_{max} := d + 3\sqrt{d\log N}$; almost surely we have $d_{min} \le d_S \le d_{max}$ for all $S$.

**Lemma 3** *If $d \ge \log N$, then almost surely $\|M_3\| = O\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log N}}{n\sqrt{d}}\right)$.*

**Proof:** Note $\mathrm{E}(W) = \binom{n-2s}{r-2s}pK$, where $K$ is the adjacency matrix of the Kneser graph $K(n, s)$. Let $M_0 := \frac{1}{\binom{n-s}{s}}K - \frac{1}{\binom{n}{s}}J$. We can rewrite $M_3$ as

$$M_3 = dD^{-1/2}M_0D^{-1/2} - M_0.$$

Note $\|M_0\| = \bar{\lambda}^{(s)}(K_n^r) = \frac{s}{n-s}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|M_3\| &= \|dD^{-1/2}M_0D^{-1/2} - M_0\| \\
&\le \|(dD^{-1/2} - d^{1/2}I)M_0D^{-1/2}\| + \|M_0(d^{1/2}D^{-1/2} - I)\| \\
&\le \|(d^{1/2}I - dD^{-1/2})\|\|M_0\|\|D^{-1/2}\| + \|M_0\|\|(d^{1/2}D^{-1/2} - I)\| \\
&\le \left|d^{1/2} - dd_{min}^{-1/2}\right| \frac{s}{n-s} d_{min}^{-1/2} + \frac{s}{n-s}\left|d^{1/2}d_{min}^{-1/2} - 1\right| \\
&= O\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log N}}{n\sqrt{d}}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 4** *If* $p(1-p) \gg \frac{\log n}{n^{r-s}}$, *then almost surely*

$$\sum_{S \in \binom{V}{s}} (d_S - d)^2 = (1 + o(1))\binom{n}{s} d(1-p).$$

**Proof:** For $S \in \binom{V}{s}$, let $X_S = (d_S - d)^2$. We have

$$\mathrm{E}(X_S) = \mathrm{E}((d_S - d)^2) = \mathrm{Var}(d_S) = \binom{n-s}{r-s}p(1-p) = d(1-p).$$

We use the second moment method to prove that $\sum_S X_s$ concentrates around its expectation $\binom{n}{s}d(1-p)$. For any $S, T \in \binom{V}{s}$, the covariance can be calculated as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Cov}(X_S, X_T) &= \mathrm{E}(X_S X_T) - \mathrm{E}(X_S)\mathrm{E}(X_T) \\
&= \mathrm{E}((d_S - d)^2(d_T - d)^2) - d^2(1-p)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

For $F \in \binom{V}{r}$, let $Y_F = X_F - \mathrm{E}(X_F)$. Then we have $d_S - d = \sum_{S \subset F} Y_F$.

$$\mathrm{E}((d_S - d)^2(d_T - d)^2) = \sum_{\substack{F_1, F_2 :\, S \subset F_1 \cap F_2 \\ F_3, F_4 :\, T \subset F_3 \cap F_4}} \mathrm{E}(Y_{F_1}Y_{F_2}Y_{F_3}Y_{F_4}).$$

Since $\mathrm{E}(Y_{F_i}) = 0$, the non-zero terms occur only if

1. $F_1 = F_2 = F_3 = F_4$. In this case, we have

   $$\mathrm{E}(Y_{F_1}Y_{F_2}Y_{F_3}Y_{F_4}) = \mathrm{E}(Y_{F_1}^4) = (1-p)^4 p + (-p)^4(1-p) = p(1-p)(1 - 3p + 3p^2).$$

   The number of choices is $\binom{n-|S\cup T|}{r-|S\cup T|}$.

2. $F_1 = F_2 \neq F_3 = F_4$. In this case, we have

   $$\mathrm{E}(Y_{F_1}Y_{F_2}Y_{F_3}Y_{F_4}) = \mathrm{E}(Y_{F_1}^2)\mathrm{E}(Y_{F_3}^2) = p^2(1-p)^2.$$

   The number of choices is $\binom{n-s}{r-|S|}\binom{n-s}{r-|T|} - \binom{n-|S\cup T|}{r-|S\cup T|}$.

3. $F_1 = F_3 \neq F_2 = F_4$. In this case, we have

   $$\mathrm{E}(Y_{F_1}Y_{F_2}Y_{F_3}Y_{F_4}) = \mathrm{E}(Y_{F_1}^2)\mathrm{E}(Y_{F_2}^2) = p^2(1-p)^2.$$

   The number of choices is $\binom{n-|S\cup T|}{r-|S\cup T|}^2 - \binom{n-|S\cup T|}{r-|S\cup T|}$.

4. $F_1 = F_4 \neq F_2 = F_3$. This is the same as item 3.

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}(X_S X_T) &= \binom{n-|S\cup T|}{r-|S\cup T|} p(1-p)(1-3p+3p^2) \\
&\quad + \left( \binom{n-s}{r-s}^2 + 2\binom{n-|S\cup T|}{r-|S\cup T|}^2 - 3\binom{n-|S\cup T|}{r-|S\cup T|} \right) p^2(1-p)^2 \\
&= \binom{n-|S\cup T|}{r-|S\cup T|} p(1-p)(1-6p+6p^2) + \left( \binom{n-s}{r-s}^2 + 2\binom{n-|S\cup T|}{r-|S\cup T|}^2 \right) p^2(1-p)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

This expression on the right depends only on the size of $S \cup T$. Putting together, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Var}\left( \sum_{S\in\binom{V}{s}} X_S \right) &= \sum_{S,T\in\binom{V}{s}} \mathrm{Cov}(X_S, X_T) \\
&= \sum_{S,T\in\binom{V}{s}} (\mathrm{E}(X_S X_T) - d^2(1-p)^2) \\
&= \sum_{S,T\in\binom{V}{s}} \left( \mathrm{E}(X_S X_T) - \binom{n-s}{r-s}^2 p^2(1-p)^2 \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=s}^{2s} \sum_{|S\cup T|=i} \left( \binom{n-i}{r-i} p(1-p)(1-6p+6p^2) + 2\binom{n-i}{r-i}^2 p^2(1-p)^2 \right) \\
&\le \sum_{i=s}^{2s} \sum_{|S\cup T|=i} \binom{n-i}{r-i} p(1-p) \left( 1-6p+6p^2 + 2\binom{n-s}{r-s} p(1-p) \right) \\
&\le \sum_{i=s}^{2s} \sum_{|S\cup T|=i} \binom{n-i}{r-i} 3dp(1-p)^2 \\
&= \binom{n}{r} 3dp(1-p)^2 \sum_{i=s}^{2s} \frac{r!}{(i-s)!^2(2s-i)!(r-i)!} \\
&< 3\cdot 4^r \binom{n}{r} dp(1-p)^2 \\
&= O\left( \binom{n}{s} d^2(1-p)^2 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $X = \sum_S X_S$. We have $\mathrm{E}[X] = \binom{n}{s} d(1-p)$ and $\mathrm{Var}(X) = O\left(\binom{n}{s} d^2(1-p)^2\right)$. Applying Chebyshev's inequality to $X = \sum_{S\in\binom{V}{s}}$, we have

$$\Pr\left( |X - \mathrm{E}(X)| \ge \log n \sqrt{\mathrm{Var}(X)} \right) \le \frac{1}{\log^2 n}.$$

Thus, almost surely $X = \mathrm{E}(X) + O(\log n \sqrt{\mathrm{Var}(X)}) = (1+o(1))\binom{n}{s} d(1-p)$. □

**Lemma 5** *If $p(1-p) \gg \frac{\log n}{n^{r-s}}$, then almost surely $\|M_4\| \le (1+o(1))\sqrt{\frac{1-p}{d}}$.*

**Proof:** We can rewrite $M_4$ as

$$\begin{aligned} M_4 &= \frac{1}{\binom{n}{s}}(dD^{-1/2}JD^{-1/2} - J) \\ &= \frac{1}{\binom{n}{s}}\left(\left(d^{1/2}D^{-1/2} - I\right)JD^{-1/2}d^{1/2} + J\left(d^{1/2}D^{-1/2} - I\right)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\binom{n}{s}}\left(\alpha\mathbf{1}'D^{-1/2}d^{1/2} + \mathbf{1}\alpha'\right). \end{aligned}$$

Here $\alpha := d^{1/2}D^{-1/2}\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1}$. Note that the spectral norm of a vector is the same as the $L_2$-norm. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\alpha\| &= \|d^{1/2}D^{-1/2}\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1}\| \\ &= \sqrt{\sum_{S \in \binom{V}{s}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{d}}{\sqrt{d_S}} - 1\right)^2} \\ &= \sqrt{\sum_{S \in \binom{V}{s}} \frac{(d_S - d)^2}{d_S(\sqrt{d} + \sqrt{d_S})^2}} \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{\sum_{S \in \binom{V}{s}} (d_S - d)^2}}{\sqrt{d_{min}}(\sqrt{d} + \sqrt{d_{min}})} \\ &= (\frac{1}{2} + o(1))\sqrt{\frac{(1-p)\binom{n}{s}}{d}}. \end{aligned}$$

In the last step, we applied Lemma 4. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|M_4\| &= \left\| \frac{1}{\binom{n}{s}}\left(\alpha\mathbf{1}'D^{-1/2}d^{1/2} + \mathbf{1}\alpha'\right) \right\| \\ &= \frac{1}{\binom{n}{s}}\left(\left\|\alpha\mathbf{1}'D^{-1/2}d^{1/2}\right\| + \|\mathbf{1}\alpha'\|\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\binom{n}{s}}\|\alpha\|\left(\|\mathbf{1}'D^{-1/2}d^{1/2}\| + \|\mathbf{1}\|\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\binom{n}{s}}\|\alpha\|\left(\sqrt{\sum_{S \in \binom{n}{s}} \frac{d}{d_S}} + \sqrt{\binom{n}{s}}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\binom{n}{s}}\left(\frac{1}{2} + o(1)\right)\sqrt{\frac{(1-p)\binom{n}{s}}{d}}(2 + o(1))\left(\sqrt{\binom{n}{s}}\right) \\ &= (1 + o(1))\sqrt{\frac{1-p}{d}}. \end{aligned}$$

## 3 Proof of Theorem 2

To estimate the spectral norm of $M_1$ and $M_2$, we need consider the matrix $C := W - \mathrm{E}(W)$. We estimate the expectation of the trace of $C^t$ as follows.

**Lemma 6** *For any $k$ satisfying $k \ll \sqrt[4]{n^{r-s}p(1-p)}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{Trace}(C^{2k})\right) &\leq (1+o(1))\frac{n^{s+k(r-s)}\binom{r-s}{s}^k}{(k+1)(s!)^{k+1}((r-2s)!)^k}\binom{2k}{k}p^k(1-p)^k, &(16)\\
\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{Trace}(C^{2k+1})\right) &= O\left(\frac{2k^2 n^{s+k(r-s)}\binom{r-s}{s}^{k+1}}{(k+1)(s!)^{k+1}((r-2s)!)^k}\binom{2k}{k}p^k(1-p)^k\right). &(17)
\end{aligned}$$

*If further $k = o\left(\log(n^{r-s}p(1-p))\right)$, then we have*

$$\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{Trace}(C^{2k})\right) = (1+o(1))\frac{n^{s+k(r-s)}}{(k+1)(s!)^{k+1}((r-2s)!)^k}\binom{2k}{k}p^k(1-p)^k. \qquad (18)$$

The proof of this technical Lemma is quite long. We will delay its proof until the end of this section.

**Lemma 7** *If $p(1-p) \gg \frac{\log^4 n}{n^{r-s}}$, then we have $\|C\| \leq \left(2\binom{r-s}{s} + o(1)\right)\sqrt{d(1-p)}$ almost surely.*

**Proof:** By Lemma 6, we have $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{Trace}(C^{2k})) \leq (1+o(1))\frac{n^{s+k(r-s)}\binom{r-s}{s}^k}{(k+1)(s!)^{k+1}((r-2s)!)^k}\binom{2k}{k}p^k(1-p)^k$. As $\mathrm{E}(\|C\|^{2k}) \leq \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{Trace}(C^{2k}))$, we have

$$\mathrm{E}(\|C\|^{2k}) \leq (1+o(1))\frac{n^{s+k(r-s)}\binom{r-s}{s}^k}{(k+1)(s!)^{k+1}((r-2s)!)^k}\binom{2k}{k}p^k(1-p)^k.$$

Let $U := \frac{n^{s+k(r-s)}\binom{r-s}{s}^k}{(k+1)(s!)^{k+1}((r-2s)!)^k}\binom{2k}{k}p^k(1-p)^k$. By Markov's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pr\left(\|C\| \geq (1+\epsilon)\sqrt[2k]{U}\right) &= \Pr\left(\|C\|^{2k} \geq (1+\epsilon)^{2k}U\right)\\
&\leq \frac{\mathrm{E}(\|C\|^{2k})}{(1+\epsilon)^{2k}U}\\
&\leq \frac{(1+o(1))U}{(1+\epsilon)^{2k}U}\\
&= \frac{1+o(1)}{(1+\epsilon)^{2k}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $g(n)$ be a slowly growing function such that $g(n) \to \infty$ as $n$ approaches the infinity and $g(n) \ll \frac{(n^{r-s}p(1-p))^{1/4}}{s\log n}$. This is possible because $n^{r-s}p(1-p) \gg \log^4 n$. Choose $k = sg(n)\log n$ and $\epsilon = 1/g(n)$. We have $k \ll (n^{r-s}p(1-p))^{1/4}$ and $\epsilon \to 0$. Then we have $(1+o(1))/(1+\epsilon)^{2k} = O(n^{-s})$, which implies that almost surely

$$\begin{aligned}
|\|C\| &\leq (1+o(1))\sqrt[2k]{U}\\
&= (1+o(1))\left(\frac{n^{s+k(r-s)}\binom{r-s}{s}^k}{(k+1)(s!)^{k+1}((r-2s)!)^k}\binom{2k}{k}p^k(1-p)^k\right)^{\frac{1}{2k}}\\
&< n^{\frac{s}{2k}}2\sqrt{\frac{n^{r-s}\binom{r-s}{s}p(1-p)}{s!(r-2s)!}}\\
&= \left(2\binom{r-s}{s} + o(1)\right)\sqrt{d(1-p)}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Recall $M_2 = \frac{1}{\binom{r-s}{s}d}C$. We have

**Lemma 8** *If* $p(1-p) \gg \frac{\log^4 n}{n^{r-s}}$*, then we have* $\|M_2\| \leq (2+o(1))\sqrt{\frac{1-p}{d}}$ *almost surely.*

**Lemma 9** *If* $p(1-p) \gg \frac{\log^4 n}{n^{r-s}}$*, then we have* $\|M_1\| = O\left(\frac{\sqrt{(1-p)\log N}}{d}\right)$ *almost surely.*

**Proof:** We have

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 &= \frac{1}{\binom{r-s}{s}}\left(D^{-1/2}CD^{-1/2} - d^{-1}C\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\binom{r-s}{s}}\left((D^{-1/2} - d^{-1/2}I)CD^{-1/2} + d^{-1/2}C(D^{-1/2} - d^{-1/2}I)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Note $\|D^{-1/2}-d^{-1/2}I\| \leq |d_{min}^{-1/2} - d^{-1/2}| = O(\frac{\sqrt{\log N}}{d})$, $\|D^{-1/2}\| \leq d_{min}^{-1/2} = (1+o(1))d^{-1/2}$, and $\|C\| = \left(2\binom{r-s}{s} + o(1)\right)\sqrt{d(1-p)}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|M_1\| &= \frac{1}{\binom{r-s}{s}}\left\|(D^{-1/2} - d^{-1/2}I)CD^{-1/2} + d^{-1/2}C(D^{-1/2} - d^{-1/2}I)\right\| \\ &= O\left(\frac{\sqrt{(1-p)\log N}}{d}\right). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Proof of Theorem 2:** Combining Lemmas 3, 5, 8, and 9, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|M\| &= \|M_1 + M_2 + M_3 + M_4\| \\ &\leq \|M_1\| + \|M_2\| + \|M_3\| + \|M_4\| \\ &\leq O\left(\frac{\sqrt{(1-p)\log N}}{d}\right) + \frac{(2+o(1))\sqrt{1-p}}{\sqrt{d}} + O\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log N}}{n\sqrt{d}}\right) + (1+o(1))\sqrt{\frac{1-p}{d}} \\ &= (3+o(1))\sqrt{\frac{1-p}{d}}. \end{aligned}$$

In the last step, we use the fact $\frac{\sqrt{\log N}}{n\sqrt{d}} = o\left(\sqrt{\frac{1-p}{d}}\right)$ since $1-p \gg \frac{\log n}{n^2}$.

By Lemma 1, for $1 \leq k \leq \binom{n}{s} - 1$, we have

$$|\lambda_k^{(s)}(H^r(n,p)) - \lambda_k^{(s)}(K_n^r)| \leq \|M\| \leq (3+o(1))\sqrt{\frac{1-p}{d}}.$$

□

Reall that $X_F$ is the random indicator variable for $F$ being an edge in $H^r(n,p)$. For any fixed positive integer $t$, the terms in Trace$(C^t)$ are of the form

$$c_{S_1S_2}c_{S_2S_3}\ldots c_{S_tS_{S_1}}.$$

Here $c_{ST} = W(S,T) - \mathrm{E}(W(S,T)) = \sum_{\substack{F\in\binom{V}{r} \\ S\cup T\subset F}}(X_F - \mathrm{E}(X_F))$ if $S \cap T = \emptyset$; $c_{ST} = 0$ otherwise.

Note $c_{S_iS_j} = 0$ if $S_i \cap S_j \neq \emptyset$. Thus we need only to consider the sequence $S_1S_2\ldots S_tS_1$ such that $S_i \cap S_{i+1} = \emptyset$ for each $1 \leq i \leq t$, here $t+1 = 1$.

For $F \in \binom{V}{r}$ and $S, T \in \binom{V}{s}$, we define a random variable $c_{ST}^F$ as follows.

$$c_{ST}^F = \begin{cases} X_F - \mathrm{E}(X_F) & \text{if } S \cap T = \emptyset \text{ and } S \cup T \subseteq F; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The sequence $w := S_1 F_1 S_2 F_2 S_3 \ldots S_t F_t S_1$ is called a closed $s$-walk of length $t$ if

1. $S_1, \ldots, S_t \in \binom{V}{s}$,
2. $F_1, \ldots, F_t \in \binom{V}{r}$,
3. $S_i \cap S_{i+1} = \emptyset$, for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t$,
4. $S_i \cup S_{i+1} \subset F_i$, for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t$.

Here we use the convention $S_{t+1} = S_1$. Those $r$-sets $F_i$'s are referred as edges while those $s$-sets $S_i$'s are referred as stops. For $1 \leq i \leq t$, we say $w$ walks from $S_i$ to $S_{i+1}$ at step $i$ via the edge $F_i$.

Using the notation above, we rewrite the trace as

$$\mathrm{Trace}(C^t) = \sum_{\text{closed } s\text{-walks}} c_{S_1 S_2}^{F_1} c_{S_2 S_3}^{F_2} \ldots c_{S_t S_1}^{F_t},$$

where the summation is over all possible closed $s$-walks of length $t$.

Taking the expectation on both sides, we get

$$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{Trace}(C^t)) = \sum_{\text{closed } s\text{-walks}} \mathrm{E}(c_{S_1 S_2}^{F_1} c_{S_2 S_3}^{F_2} \ldots c_{S_t S_1}^{F_t}).$$

The terms in the product above can be regrouped according to the values of $F_i$'s; those terms with distinct $F$'s are independent to each other. Since $\mathrm{E}(c_{S,T}^F) = 0$, the contribution of a closed walk is 0 if some $F$ appears only once. Thus we need only to consider the set of closed walks where each edge appears at least twice or do not occur; we call these closed walks as *good* closed walks. A good closed walk can contain at most $\lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor$ distinct edges.

Let $\mathcal{G}_i$ be the set of good closed walks of length $t$ with $i$ distinct edges. For $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor$, let $\mathcal{G}_i^j$ be the set of good closed walks with exactly $i$ distinct edges and $j$ distinct vertices; we have $\mathcal{G}_i := \cup_j \mathcal{G}_i^j$.

We consider a good closed walk in $\mathcal{G}_i$. When a new edge comes in the walk, it can bring in at most $(r - s)$ new vertices. Thus such a good closed walk covers at most $m_i := s + i(r - s)$ vertices. Any walk contains at least one edge. Hence, the number of vertices in a walk from $\mathcal{G}_i$ is in the interval $[r, m_i]$.

We have

$$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{Trace}(C^t)) = \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{S_1 F_1 S_2 \ldots S_t S_1 \in \mathcal{G}_i} \mathrm{E}(c_{S_1 S_2}^{F_1} c_{S_2 S_3}^{F_2} \ldots c_{S_t S_1}^{F_t}). \tag{19}$$

Assume that an edge $F$ occurs $q$ times in a good closed walk and $T := \{i : 1 \leq i \leq t \text{ and } F_i = F\}$. We have $\Pr\left(\Pi_{i \in T} c_{S_i S_{i+1}}^F = (1-p)^q\right) = p$ and $\Pr\left(\Pi_{i \in T} c_{S_i S_{i+1}}^F = (-p)^q\right) = 1 - p$. Thus, for each positive integer $l \geq 2$, we have

$$\mathrm{E}\left(\Pi_{i \in T} c_{S_i S_{i+1}}^F\right) = (1-p)^q p + (-p)^q (1-p) \leq p(1-p).$$

The equality holds for $q = 2$.

Pick a good closed walk $w := S_1F_1S_2F_2S_3\ldots S_tF_tS_1$ in $\mathcal{G}_i$. Let $F^1,\ldots,F^i$ be the list of distinct edges in the order as they appear in $w$.

For each $1 \le l \le i$, let $T_l := \{1 \le j \le t : F_j = F^l\}$; then $\sum_{l=1}^{i}|T_l| = t$. We have

$$\mathrm{E}(c^{F_1}_{S_1S_2}c^{F_2}_{S_2S_3}\ldots c^{F_t}_{S_tS_1}) = \Pi_{l=1}^{i}\Pi_{j\in T_l}\mathrm{E}(c^{F^l}_{S_jS_{j+1}}) \le \Pi_{l=1}^{i}p(1-p) = p^i(1-p)^i.$$

This implies

$$\sum_{S_1F_1S_2\ldots S_tS_1\in\mathcal{G}_i}\mathrm{E}(c^{F_1}_{S_1S_2}c^{F_2}_{S_2S_3}\ldots c^{F_t}_{S_tS_1}) \le |\mathcal{G}_i|\,p^i(1-p)^i \tag{20}$$

for all $1 \le i \le \lfloor\frac{t}{2}\rfloor$. In particular, the equality holds when $t = 2i$. Combining equation (19) and inequality (20), we get

$$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{Trace}(C^t)) \le \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor\frac{t}{2}\rfloor}|\mathcal{G}_i|p^i(1-p)^i. \tag{21}$$

Now we estimate the value of $|\mathcal{G}_i^j|$, the number of good closed walks of length $t$ on $i$ edges and $j$ vertices. Let $w$ be a good closed walk in $\mathcal{G}_i^j$. For $2 \le k \le i$, let $\cdots SF^kS'\cdots$ be a piece of sequence in $w$ where the edge $F^k$ occurs first time; $S$ is called the in-stop of $F^k$ and $S'$ is called the out-stop of $F^k$.

The following lemma will state the hypergraph structure of these $i$ edges; it is independent of the walk $w$. We will use the following notation. Let $\mathcal{S} = \cup_{l=1}^{i}\binom{F^l}{s}$. For any $s$-set $S \in \mathcal{S}$, the degree of $S$, denoted by $d_S$, is the number of edges in $\{F^1, F^2,\ldots,F^i\}$ containing $S$.

Define

$$d'_S = \begin{cases} d_S - 1 & \text{if there exists a unique } k \text{ such that } S = F_k \cap (\cup_{l=1}^{k-1}F_l),\\ d_S & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

**Lemma 10** *Assume that $F^1,\ldots,F^i$ is the list of distinct edges in the order as they appear in $w \in \mathcal{G}_i^j$. Then we have*

$$\sum_{S\in\mathcal{S}}(d'_S - 1) \le \left(1 + \frac{2}{s}\binom{r}{s-1}\right)(m_i - j).$$

**Proof:** For $2 \le k \le i$, let $x_k = |F^k \setminus (\cup_{l=1}^{k-1}F^l)|$; we have

$$0 \le x_k \le r - s.$$

Thus,

$$j = r + x_2 + x_3 + \cdots + x_i \le r + (i-1)(r-s) = m_i.$$

Since a new edge $F_k$ can contribute at most $\binom{r-x_k}{s}$ to $\sum_{S\in\mathcal{S}}(d_S - 1)$, we have

$$\sum_{S\in\mathcal{S}}(d_S - 1) \le \sum_{k=2}^{i}\binom{r-x_k}{s}.$$

Let $K := \{k\colon x_k = r-s, 2 \le k \le i\}$ and $\overline{K} = \{2,\ldots,i\}\backslash K$. The edges in the set $\{F_k\colon k \in K\}$ are called *forward* edges while the edges in the set $\{F_k\colon k \in \overline{K}\}$ are called *backward* edges. Note each backward edge contribute at least one to $m_i - j$; thus

$$m_i - j \ge |\overline{K}|.$$

Note for each $k \in K$, $\binom{r-x_k}{s} = 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{S\in\mathcal{S}} (d_S - 1) &\leq \sum_{k=2}^{i} \binom{r-x_k}{s} \\
&= |K| + \sum_{k\in\overline{K}} \binom{r-x_k}{s} \\
&= |K| + \sum_{k\in\overline{K}} \frac{r-x_k-s+1}{s} \binom{r-x_k}{s-1} \\
&\leq |K| + \sum_{k\in\overline{K}} \frac{2}{s} \binom{r}{s-1} (r-x_k-s) \\
&= |K| + \frac{2}{s}\binom{r}{s-1}(m_i - j).
\end{aligned}$$

For any $k \in K$, let $S(F_k) := F_k \cap (\cup_{l=1}^{k-1} F_l)$ be the starting stop of $F_k$ when $F_k$ first occurs in $w$. List the elements in $K$ as $k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_{|K|}$ in an increasing order. Consider the sequence of stops $S(F_{k_1}), S(F_{k_2}), \ldots, S(F_{k_{|K|}})$ (not necessarily distinct). Let $z$ be the number of distinct stops in the sequence. If $S(F_{k_l})$ does not appear the first time in the sequence above, then we consider the partial walk $S_{k_{l-1}} F_{k_{l-1}} \ldots S_{k_l} F_{k_l}$. Since $F_{k_{l-1}}$ is a forward edge, there exists at least one backward edge $F^{l'}$ for some $l' \in (k_{l-1}, k_l)$. Thus,

$$|K| \leq z + |\overline{K}| \leq z + m_i - j.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{S\in\mathcal{S}} (d'_S - 1) &= \sum_{S\in\mathcal{S}} (d_S - 1) - z \\
&\leq |K| + \frac{2}{s}\binom{r}{s-1}(m_i - j) - z \\
&\leq \frac{2}{s}\binom{r}{s-1}(m_i - j) + m_i - j \\
&= \left(1 + \frac{2}{s}\binom{r}{s-1}\right)(m_i - j).
\end{aligned}$$

The proof of this Lemma is finished. □

**Lemma 11** *For $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor$ and $r \leq j \leq m_i$, we have*

$$|\mathcal{G}_i^j| \leq \binom{t-2}{t-2i} i^{t-2i} \frac{1}{i+1}\binom{2i}{i}\binom{r-s}{s}^{t-i} \frac{n^{m_i}}{(s!)^{i+1}((r-2s)!)^i} \left(\frac{C_1 i^{C_2}}{n}\right)^{m_i - j}.$$

*Here $C_1$ and $C_2$ depend only on $r$ and $s$, independent of $i$, $j$, and $n$.*

**Corollary 1** *For $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor$ and $n \gg i^{C_2}$, we have*

$$|\mathcal{G}_i| \leq (1+o(1))\binom{t-2}{t-2i} i^{t-2i} \frac{1}{i+1}\binom{2i}{i}\binom{r-s}{s}^{t-i} \frac{n^{m_i}}{(s!)^{i+1}((r-2s)!)^i}. \tag{22}$$

**Proof:** We can associate a walk $w \in \mathcal{G}_i^j$ with a code of length $t$ consisting of three symbols: '(', ')', and '$*$'. We scan the edges of the walk $w$ from left to right; if an edge appears first time, then we assign the code '('; if an edge appears second time, then we assign the code ')'; otherwise, we assign the code '$*$'.

For example, consider the following good walk with $i = 3$, $j = 8$, and $t = 8$:

$$w = S_1F_1S_2F_2S_3F_3S_4F_1S_5F_1S_4F_3S_3F_2S_2F_1S_1$$

Here edges are: $F_1 = (1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$, $F_2 = (4, 5, 6, 7, 8)$, $F_3 = (7, 8, 5, 3, 4)$. Stops are: $S_1 = (1, 2)$, $S_2 = (4, 5)$, $S_3 = (7, 8)$, $S_4 = (3, , 4)$, $S_5 = (2, 5)$. The code for this walk is $((()*))*$.

Since $w$ has $i$ distinct edges, there are $i$ '('s, $i$ ')'s, and $(t - 2i)$ '$*$'s. Note that the number of '(' is always greater than or equal to the number of ')' at any point when the sequence is read from left to right; each '(' has a matched ')' in the sequence. The symbol '$*$' starts at position three and up. There are $\binom{t-2}{t-2i}$ ways to choose the '$*$'-positions and $\frac{1}{i+1}\binom{2i}{i}$ ways to choose $i$ matched parentheses (the Catalan number). The number of such codes is

$$\binom{t-2}{t-2i}\frac{1}{i+1}\binom{2i}{i}.$$

To construct a walk from a given code, we scan the symbols from left to right. The first symbol is always '('. There are $\binom{n}{s}$ ways to choose the first stop $S_1$ and $\binom{n-s}{r-s}$ ways to choose the rest of vertices in the first edge $F^1$. Suppose that we already build a partial walk and need to decide the next stop and the next edge. There are at most $\binom{r-s}{s}$ ways to choose the next stop $S$. The choices of selecting the next edge depends on the next available symbol in the code sequence. Let $b_($, $b_)$, and $b_*$ be the product of the number of ways to choose the next edge at the '(', ')', and '$*$' positions respectively. We have

$$|\mathcal{G}_i^j| \leq \binom{t-2}{t-2i}\frac{1}{i+1}\binom{2i}{i}\binom{n}{s}\binom{r-s}{s}^t b_( \cdot b_* \cdot b_). \tag{23}$$

First we estimate $b_($, the number of ways to choose new edges $F^1, \ldots, F^i$ given the first stop $S_1$. Besides the $s$ vertices selected at the first stop, there are $\binom{n-s}{j-s}$ ways to choose remaining $j - s$ vertices. Recall that $F^1, \ldots, F^i$ is the list of distinct edges in the order as they appear in $w$. For $2 \leq l \leq i$, let $\tilde{F}^l := F^l \setminus (\cup_{l'=1}^{l-1} F^{l'})$, $x_l := |\tilde{F}^l|$, and $y_l := r - s - x_l$. We also define $\tilde{F}^1 := F^1 \setminus S_1$; $x_1 := |\tilde{F}^1| = r - s$, and $y_1 = 0$. Note that $\cup_{l=1}^{i} \tilde{F}^l$ forms a partition of the remaining $(j - s)$ selected vertices. The number of ways to choose such a partition is

$$\frac{(j-s)!}{x_1!x_2!\cdots x_i!}.$$

To choose $F_l$, we need select $x_l$ new vertices and $y_l$ old vertices; each old vertex has at most $j$ choices. We have

$$b_( \leq \sum_{x_2,\ldots,x_i} \binom{n-s}{j-s}\frac{(j-s)!}{(r-s)!x_2!\cdots x_i!} j^{\sum_{l=2}^{i} y_l}.$$

Observe that $\sum_{l=2}^{i} y_l = m_i - j$ and

$$\frac{(j-s)!}{(r-s)!x_2!\cdots x_i!} \leq \binom{j-s}{r-s}\frac{(m_i-r)!}{((r-s)!)^{i-1}}.$$

The number of ways to choose $x_2, \dots, x_i$ is the same as the number of ways to choose $y_2, \dots, y_i$, which is $\binom{m_i-j+i-2}{m_i-j} \leq (m_i - j + i - 2)^{m_i-j}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} b_( &\leq \sum_{x_2,\dots,x_i} \binom{n-s}{j-s} \frac{(j-s)!}{(r-s)! x_2! \cdots x_i!} j^{\sum_{l=2}^{i} y_l} \\ &\leq \binom{n-s}{j-s}\binom{j-s}{r-s} \frac{(m_i-r)!}{((r-s)!)^{i-1}} (m_i - j + i - 2)^{m_i-j} j^{m_i-j} \\ &\leq \binom{n-s}{j-s}\binom{j-s}{r-s} \frac{(m_i-r)!}{((r-s)!)^{i-1}} \left(\frac{m_i+i-2}{2}\right)^{2(m_i-j)}. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

There is at most $i$ choices of edges at each '$*$' position. Thus

$$b_* \leq i^{t-2i}. \tag{25}$$

It remains to bound $b_)$. We first present an easy bound for $b_)$. Edge $F$ can be chosen at most one ')'-position. For any possible stop $S \in \mathcal{S}$ , $S$ can appear at the )-positions at most $d_S$ times; each occurrence of $S$ involves different edges since we are considering the second occurrence of edges. Thus,

$$b_) \leq \prod_{S \in \mathcal{S}} d_S! \leq \prod_{S \in \mathcal{S}} d_S^{d_S-1} \leq i^{\sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} (d_S-1)}.$$

We need a better upper bound for $b_)$. Consider a stop $S$ which is first chosen at a ')'-position. Let $F$ be the edge on the walk right before the ')'-position; i.e., the walk $w$ enters $S$ through $F$. If this $F$ occurred before, then the choices of edges at ')'-positions starting with $S$ is at most

$$(d_S - 1)! \leq i^{d_S-2}.$$

If this $F$ occurs first time and $F$ is an forward edge, then there is only one choice for the next edge leaving $S$; namely $F$ itself. In this case, the choices of edges at ')'-positions starting with $S$ is at most

$$(d_S - 1)! \leq i^{d_S-2}.$$

In the remaining case, $F$ must be a backward edge. The number of backward edges is at most $m_i - j$. Since $F$ contains at most $\binom{r}{s}$ stops, the number of such $S$ is at most $\binom{r}{s}(m_i - j)$. A additional factor $i^{\binom{r}{s}(m_i-j)}$ is enough. We have

$$\begin{aligned} b_) &\leq i^{\binom{r}{s}(m_i-j)} \prod_{S: d_S \geq 2} i^{d_S-2} \\ &\leq i^{\binom{r}{s}(m_i-j)} \prod_{S} i^{d'_S-1} \\ &\leq i^{\binom{r}{s}(m_i-j)} i^{(1+\frac{2}{s}\binom{r}{s-1})(m_i-j)} \\ &= i^{(\binom{r}{s}+1+\frac{2}{s}\binom{r}{s-1})(m_i-j)}. \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Combining equations (23), (24), (25), and (26), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{G}_i^j| &\leq \binom{t-2}{t-2i}\frac{1}{i+1}\binom{2i}{i}\binom{n}{s}\binom{r-s}{s}^t\binom{n-s}{j-s}\binom{j-s}{r-s} \\
&\quad \frac{(m_i-r)!}{((r-s)!)^{i-1}}\left(\frac{m_i+i-2}{2}\right)^{2(m_i-j)} i^{t-2i} i^{\left(\binom{r}{s}+1+\frac{2}{s}\binom{r}{s-1}\right)(m_i-j)} \\
&\leq \binom{t-2}{t-2i} i^{t-2i}\frac{1}{i+1}\binom{2i}{i}\binom{r-s}{s}^{t-i}\frac{n^j}{(s!)^{i+1}((r-2s)!)^i} \\
&\quad \frac{(m_i-r)!}{(j-r)!}\left(\frac{m_i+i-2}{2}\right)^{2(m_i-j)} i^{\left(\binom{r}{s}+1+\frac{2}{s}\binom{r}{s-1}\right)(m_i-j)} \\
&\leq \binom{t-2}{t-2i} i^{t-2i}\frac{1}{i+1}\binom{2i}{i}\binom{r-s}{s}^{t-i}\frac{n^{m_i}}{(s!)^{i+1}((r-2s)!)^i}\left(\frac{C_1 i^{C_2}}{n}\right)^{m_i-j}
\end{aligned}$$

Here we set $C_1 = 4(r-s)^3$ and $C_2 = \binom{r}{s} + 4 + \frac{2}{s}\binom{r}{s-1}$. $\square$

**Lemma 12** *If $t = 2k$ is even, then we have*

$$|\mathcal{G}_k^{m_k}| = \binom{n}{m_k}\frac{m_k!}{(k+1)(s!)^{k+1}((r-2s)!)^k}\binom{2k}{k}. \tag{27}$$

**Proof:** We will construct a bijection from $\mathcal{G}_k^{m_k}$ to a triple $(U, \mathcal{P}, C)$, where $U$ is a set of $m_k$ vertices, $\mathcal{P}$ is a partition of $U$ into $(k+1)$ $s$-sets and $k$ $(r-2s)$-sets, and $C$ is a code consisting of $k$ pairs valid parentheses.

For any good walk $w \in \mathcal{G}_k^{m_k}$, let $U$ be the set of vertices covered by $w$. Note each edge appears exactly twice. We define a graph $T$, whose vertices are the stops in $w$. Two stops are connected if they belong to one edge. Observe that $T$ is acyclic and connected; $T$ must be a tree. Since $T$ has exactly $k$ edges, $T$ must have $k+1$ vertices. Hence $w$ has exactly $k+1$ stops; we list them as $S^0, S^1, \ldots, S^k$. For $1 \leq i \leq k$, let $E_i$ be the set of $(r-2s)$ vertices in $F^i$ but not in any stops. We get a partition: $U = \left(\cup_{j=0}^i S^j\right) \cup \left(\cup_{j=1}^i E_j\right)$. A code consists of $k$ '(' and $k$ ')' is generated as follows. When we scan the walk from left to right, if an edge appears the first time, we append the code by a '('; otherwise, we append the code by a ')'. The code is a valid sequence of $k$ pairs of parentheses. (In this case, the number of '$*$'s is zero.) It suffices to recover a walk from a partition of $[m_k]$ and a sequence of valid parentheses.

Given a partition of $U$

$$\left(\cup_{j=0}^i S^j\right) \cup \left(\cup_{j=1}^i E_j\right)$$

and a sequence of $k$ pairs valid parentheses, we first build a rooted tree $T$ as follows. At each time, we maintain a tree $T$, a current stop $S$, a set of unused stops $\mathcal{S}$. Initially $T$ contains nothing but the root stop $S_0$, $S := S_0$, and $\mathcal{S} = \{S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_k\}$. At each time, read a symbol from the sequence. If the symbol is an open parenthesis, then find an $S_i$ in $\mathcal{S}$ with index $i$ as small as possible, delete $S_i$ from $\mathcal{S}$, attach $S_i$ to $T$ as a child stop of $S$, and let $S := S_i$; if the symbol is ")", then let $S$ point to the the parent stop of the current $S$. Repeat this process until all symbols from the sequence are processed.

Since every closed parenthesis has a matching open parenthesis, this process never get stuck. When the process ends, a rooted tree $T$ on the vertex set $\{S_0, \ldots, S_k\}$ is created. For $1 \leq i \leq k$, let $F_i$ be the union of $E_i$ and two ends of $i$-th edge, which created in the process. For example, for $k = 3$, if the sequence is $(())()$, then the corresponding good closed walk is

$$S_1F_1S_2F_2S_3F_2S_2F_1S_1F_3S_4F_3S_1$$

where $F_1 = S_1 \cup S_2 \cup E_1$, $F_2 = S_2 \cup S_3 \cup E_2$, and $F_3 = S_4 \cup S_1 \cup E_3$.

Thus, this is a bijection from $\mathcal{G}_k^{m_k}$ to all triples $\{U, \mathcal{P}, C\}$. The number of ways to choose $m_k$ vertices is $\binom{n}{m_k}$. The number of ways to choose these sets $S_0, S_1, \ldots, S_k, E_1, \ldots, E_k$ as a partition of $U$ is

$$\binom{m_k}{s, \ldots, s, r-2s, \ldots, r-2s} = \frac{m_k!}{(s!)^{k+1}((r-2s)!)^k}.$$

The number of sequences of $k$ pairs valid parentheses is the Catalan number $\frac{1}{k+1}\binom{2k}{k}$. By taking product of these three numbers, we get equation (27). $\square$

**Proof of Lemma 6:** By equations (21) and (22), we have

$$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{Trace}(C^t)) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor} |\mathcal{G}_i| p^i (1-p)^i \leq (1+o(1)) \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor} a_i.$$

Here $a_i := \binom{t-2}{t-2i} i^{t-2i} \frac{1}{i+1} \binom{2i}{i} \binom{r-s}{s}^{t-i} \frac{n^{m_i} p^i (1-p)^i}{(s!)^{i+1}((r-2s)!)^i}$. We get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{a_i}{a_{i+1}} &= \frac{\binom{t-2}{t-2i} i^{t-2i} \frac{1}{i+1} \binom{2i}{i} \binom{r-s}{s}^{t-i} \frac{n^{m_i} p^i (1-p)^i}{(s!)^{i+1}((r-2s)!)^i}}{\binom{t-2}{t-2i-2} i^{t-2i-2} \frac{1}{i+2} \binom{2i+2}{i+1} \binom{r-s}{s}^{t-i-1} \frac{n^{m_{i+1}} p^{i+1} (1-p)^{i+1}}{(s!)^{i+2}((r-2s)!)^{i+1}}} \\
&= \frac{i^3(2i-1)(i+2)}{(2i+1)(t-2i)(t-2i-1)} \frac{(r-s)!}{n^{r-s} p(1-p)} \\
&< \frac{3i^4 (r-s)!}{n^{r-s} p(1-p)}.
\end{aligned}$$

When $n^{r-s}p(1-p) \gg t^4$, we have $a_i = o(a_{i+1})$. Thus,

$$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{Trace}(C^t)) \leq (1+o(1)) a_{\lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor}.$$

When $t = 2k$, we get

$$\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{Trace}(C^{2k})\right) \leq (1+o(1)) \frac{n^{s+k(r-s)} \binom{r-s}{s}^k}{(k+1)(s!)^{k+1}((r-2s)!)^k} \binom{2k}{k} p^k (1-p)^k.$$

For $t = 2k+1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{Trace}(C^{2k+1})) &\leq (1+o(1)) a_k \\
&\leq (1+o(1)) \frac{2k^2 n^{s+k(r-s)} \binom{r-s}{s}^{k+1}}{(k+1)(s!)^{k+1}((r-2s)!)^k} \binom{2k}{k} p^k (1-p)^k.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we assume $k = o(\log(n^{r-s}p(1-p)))$. For $t = 2k$, let

$$b_k := |\mathcal{G}_k^{m_k}| p^k (1-p)^k = \binom{n}{m_k} \frac{m_k!}{(k+1)(s!)^{k+1}((r-2s)!)^k} \binom{2k}{k} p^k (1-p)^k.$$

It is clear that $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{Trace}(C^{2k})) \geq b_k$. We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{Trace}(C^{2k})) - b_k &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} |\mathcal{G}_i| p^i (1-p)^i + \sum_{j=r}^{m_k-1} |\mathcal{G}_k^j| p^k (1-p)^k \\
&= (1+o(1)) \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} a_i + a_k \sum_{j=r}^{m_k-1} \left( \frac{C_1 k^{C_2}}{n} \right)^{m_k - j}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note $a_k = (1+o(1))\binom{r-s}{s}^k b_k$ and $a_i = O\left(a_k \left(\frac{k^4}{n^{r-s}p(1-p)}\right)^{k-i}\right)$. We conclude

$$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{Trace}(C^{2k})) - b_k = O\left(b_k \binom{r-s}{s}^k \left(\frac{k^4}{n^{r-s}p(1-p)} + \frac{C_1 k^{C_2}}{n}\right)\right) = o(b_k).$$

Here we use the fact $\binom{r-s}{s}^k \left(\frac{k^4}{n^{r-s}p(1-p)} + \frac{C_1 k^{C_2}}{n}\right) = o(1)$ since $k = o(\log(n^{r-s}p(1-p)))$. $\square$

## 4 The semicircle law

Let us review the definition of the Semicircle Law. Let $F(x)$ be the continuous distribution function with density $f(x)$ such that $f(x) = (2/\pi)\sqrt{1-x^2}$ when $|x| \leq 1$ and $f(x) = 0$ when $|x| > 1$. Let $A$ be a Hermitian matrix of dimension $N \times N$. The *empirical distribution* of the eigenvalues of $A$ is

$$F(A, x) := \frac{1}{N}|\{\text{ eigenvalues of } A \text{ less than } x\}|.$$

We say, the empirical distribution of the eigenvalues of $A$ asymptotically follows the Semicircle Law centered at $c$ with radius $R$ if $F(\frac{1}{R}(A - cI), x)$ tends to $F(x)$ in probability as $N$ goes to infinity. (In this case, we write $F(\frac{1}{R}(A - cI), x) \xrightarrow{p} F(x)$.) If $c$ is the center of the Semicircle Law, then any $c' = c + o(R)$ is also the center of the Semicircle Law.

**Theorem 5** *If $n^{r-s}p(1-p) \to \infty$, then the empirical distribution of the eigenvalues of $W - \mathrm{E}(W)$ follows the semicircle law centered at $0$ with radius $2\sqrt{\binom{r-s}{s}\binom{n-s}{r-s}p(1-p)}$.*

**Proof:** Let $R := 2\sqrt{\binom{r-s}{s}\binom{n-s}{r-s}p(1-p)}$, $C := W - \mathrm{E}(W)$, and $C_{nor} := \frac{1}{R}C$.

To prove the theorem, we need to show that for any fixed $t$, the $t$-th moment of $F(C_{nor}, x)$ (with $n$ goes to infinity) is asymptotically equal to the $t$-th moment of $F(x)$. We know the $t$-th moment of $F(C_{nor}, x)$ equals $\binom{n}{s}^{-1}\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{Trace}(C_{nor}^t))$. For even $t = 2k$, the $t$-th moment of $F(x)$ is $(2k)!/2^{2k}k!(k+1)!$. For odd $t$, the $t$-th moment of $F(x)$ is 0.

In order to prove the theorem, we need to show for any fixed $k$,

$$\frac{1}{\binom{n}{s}}\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{Trace}(C_{nor}^{2k})) = (1+o(1))\frac{(2k)!}{2^{2k}k!(k+1)!}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\binom{n}{s}}\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{Trace}(C_{nor}^{2k+1})) = o(1).$$

We know

$$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{Trace}(C_{nor}^t)) = \frac{1}{R^t}\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{Trace}(C^t))$$

for any $t$. By Lemma 6, we have

$$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{Trace}(C^{2k})) = (1+o(1))\frac{n^{s+k(r-s)}}{(k+1)(s!)^{k+1}((r-2s)!)^k}\binom{2k}{k}p^k(1-p)^k.$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{\binom{n}{s}}\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{Trace}(C_{nor}^{2k})) = (1+o(1))\frac{(2k)!}{2^{2k}k!(k+1)!}$$

as desired.

By Lemma 6 again, we have

$$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{Trace}(C^{2k+1})) = O\left(\frac{2k^2 n^{s+k(r-s)} p^k (1-p)^k \binom{r-s}{s}^{k+1}}{(k+1)(s!)^{k+1}((r-2s)!)^k}\binom{2k}{k}\right).$$

Thus

$$\frac{1}{\binom{n}{s}}\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{Trace}(C_{nor}^{2k+1})) = O\left(\frac{2k^2\binom{2k}{k}\binom{r-s}{s}^{k+1}}{2^{2k}(k+1)R}\right) = o(1).$$

Here $k$ is any constant but $R \to \infty$. The theorem is proved. □

The following Lemma is useful to derive the Semicircle Law from one matrix to the other.

**Lemma 13** *Let $A$ and $B$ be two $(N \times N)$-Hermitian matrices. Suppose that the empirical distribution of the eigenvalues of $A$ follows the Semicircle Law centered at $c$ with radius $R$. If either $\|B\| = o(R)$ or the rank of $B$ is $o(N)$, then the empirical distribution of the eigenvalues of $A+B$ also follows the Semicircle Law centered at $c$ with radius $R$.*

**Proof:** It suffices to show $F(\frac{1}{R}(A+B-cI), x) \xrightarrow{p} F(x)$. First we assume $\|B\| = o(R)$. By Lemma 1, for $1 \leq k \leq N$, we have

$$\left|\mu_k\left(\frac{1}{R}(A+B-cI)\right) - \mu_k\left(\frac{1}{R}(A-cI)\right)\right| \leq \frac{\|B\|}{R} = o(1).$$

Hence

$$F\left(\frac{1}{R}(A-cI), x - \frac{\|B\|}{R}\right) \leq F\left(\frac{1}{R}(A+B-cI), x\right) \leq F\left(\frac{1}{R}(A-cI), x + \frac{\|B\|}{R}\right).$$

Since $\|B\| = o(R)$, we have $F\left(\frac{1}{R}(A-cI), x - \frac{\|B\|}{R}\right) \xrightarrow{p} F(x)$ and $F\left(\frac{1}{R}(A-cI), x + \frac{\|B\|}{R}\right) \xrightarrow{p} F(x)$. By the Squeeze theorem, we have $F(\frac{1}{R}(A+B-cI), x) \xrightarrow{p} F(x)$.

Now we assume $\mathrm{rank}(B) = o(N)$. Let $U$ be the kernel of $B$ (i.e. $B|_U = 0$); $U$ has co-dimension rank(B). Let $Z := \frac{1}{R}(A-cI)|_U = \frac{1}{R}(A+B-cI)|_U$. By Cauchy's interlace theorem [23], for $1 \leq j \leq N - \mathrm{rank}(B)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_j\left(\frac{1}{R}(A-cI)\right) &\leq \mu_j(Z) \leq \mu_{j+\mathrm{rank}(B)}\left(\frac{1}{R}(A-cI)\right),\\ \mu_j\left(\frac{1}{R}(A+B-cI)\right) &\leq \mu_j(Z) \leq \mu_{j+\mathrm{rank}(B)}\left(\frac{1}{R}(A+B-cI)\right).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, for $\mathrm{rank}(B)+1 \leq j \leq N - \mathrm{rank}(B)$, we have

$$\mu_{j-\mathrm{rank}(B)}\left(\frac{1}{R}(A-cI)\right) \leq \mu_j\left(\frac{1}{R}(A+B-cI)\right) \leq \mu_{j+\mathrm{rank}(B)}\left(\frac{1}{R}(A-cI)\right).$$

It implies

$$F\left(\frac{1}{R}(A-cI), x\right) - \frac{\mathrm{rank}(B)}{N} \leq F\left(\frac{1}{R}(A+B-cI), x\right) \leq F\left(\frac{1}{R}(A-cI), x\right) + \frac{\mathrm{rank}(B)}{N}.$$

Since $\mathrm{rank}(B) = o(N)$, we have $F\left(\frac{1}{R}(A-cI), x\right) \pm \frac{\mathrm{rank}(B)}{N} \xrightarrow{p} F(x)$. By the Squeeze theorem, we have $F(\frac{1}{R}(A+B-cI), x) \xrightarrow{p} F(x)$. □

**Proof of Theorem 3**: Recall

$$\mathcal{L}^{(s)}(K_n^r) - \mathcal{L}^{(s)}(H^r(n,p)) = M_1 + M_2 + M_2 + M_4.$$

We can write $\mathcal{L}^{(s)}(H^r(n,p))$ as $-M_2 + \left(1 - \frac{(-1)^s}{\binom{n}{s}}\right) I + B_1 - M_3 - M_4 - M_1$, where $B_1 = \mathcal{L}^{(s)}(K_n^r) - \left(1 - \frac{(-1)^s}{\binom{n}{s}}\right) I$.

By Theorem 5, the empirical distribution of the spectrum of $W - \mathrm{E}(W)$ follows the Semicircle Law centered at 0 with radius $(2 + o(1))\sqrt{\binom{r-s}{s}\binom{n-s}{r-s}p(1-p)}$. Since $M_2 = \frac{1}{\binom{r-s}{s}d}(W - \mathrm{E}(W))$, $\left(1 - \frac{(-1)^s}{\binom{n}{s}}\right) I - M_2$ follows the Semicircle Law centered at $c := 1 - \frac{(-1)^s}{\binom{n}{s}}$ with radius $R := (2+o(1))\sqrt{\frac{1-p}{\binom{r-s}{s}\binom{n-s}{r-s}p}}$. Note $\frac{(-1)^s}{\binom{n}{s}} = o(R)$. We can change the center to 1.

By Theorem 1, $\mathcal{L}^{(s)}(K_n^r)$ has an eigenvalue $1 - (-1)^s\frac{\binom{n-s}{s}}{\binom{n}{s}}$ with multiplicity $\binom{n}{s} - \binom{n}{s-1}$. Thus $B_1$ has rank $\binom{n}{s-1} = o\left(\binom{n}{s}\right)$. We also observe that $M_4$ has rank at most 2, $\|M_1\| = O\left(\frac{\sqrt{(1-p)\log N}}{d}\right) = o(R)$, and $\|M_3\| = O\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log N}}{n\sqrt{d}}\right) = o(R)$. Here we notice $d \gg \log^{1/3} n$ and $1 - p \gg \frac{\log n}{n^2 d^2}$.

By Lemma 13, the matrices $B_1$, $M_1$, $M_3$, and $M_4$ will not affect the Semicircle Law. The proof of this Lemma is finished. $\square$

# References

[1] N. Alon, M. Krivelevich, and V. H. Vu, Concentration of eigenvalues of random matrices *Israel Math. J.* **131**, (2002) 259–267.

[2] A. R. Calderbank and P. Frankl, Improved upper bounds concerning the Erdős-Ko-Rado Theorem *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing* **1**, (1992) 115-122.

[3] H. Chernoff, A note on an inequality involving the normal distribution, *Ann. Probab.*, **9**, (1981), 533-535.

[4] F. Chung, Diameters and eigenvalues, *J. of the Amer. Math. Soc,* **2** (1989), 187-196.

[5] F. Chung, The Laplacian of a hypergraph, In J. Friedman (Ed.), *Expanding graphs (DIMACS series),* **1993**, 21-36.

[6] F. Chung, V. Faber, and T.A. Manteuffel, An upper bound on the diameter of a graph from eigenvalues associated with its Laplacian, *Siam. J. Disc. Math,* **7-3** (1994), 443-457.

[7] F. Chung, *Spectral graph theory*, AMS publications, 1997.

[8] F. Chung, Laplacians and the Cheeger inequality for directed graphs, *Annals of Comb,* **9** (2005), 1-19.

[9] F. Chung, The diameter and Laplacian eigenvalues of directed graphs, *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, **13** (2006), N4.

[10] F. Chung, L. Lu, and V. H. Vu, Eigenvalues of random power law graphs, *Annals of Combinatorics,* **7** (2003), 21-33.

[11] F. Chung, L. Lu, and V. H. Vu, Spectra of random graphs with given expected degrees, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* , **100**(11) (2003), 6313-6318.

[12] F. Chung and M. Radcliffe, On the spectra of general random graphs, *Preprint.*

[13] A. Coja-Oghlan, On the Laplacian eigenvalues of $G(n, p)$, *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing,* **16**(6) (2007), 923-946.

[14] A. Coja-Oghlan and A. Lanka, The spectral gap of random graphs with given expected degrees, *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics,* (2009) R138.

[15] X. Ding and T. Jiang, Spectral distributions of adjacency and Laplacian matrices of random graphs, *The Annals of Applied Probability,* **20**(6) (2010), 2086-2117.

[16] P. Erdős, C. Ko,R. Rado, Intersection theorems for systems of finite sets, *Quarterly Journal of Mathematics*, Oxford Series, series **2** 12: (1961) 313-320.

[17] U. Feige and E. Ofek, Spectral techniques applied to sparse random graphs, *Random Structures and Algorithms*, **27**(2) (2005), 251-275.

[18] J. Friedman, J. Kahn, and E. Szemerédi, On the second eigenvalue in random regular graphs, in Proc. 21st ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, Association for Computing Machinery, New York, 1989, 587-598.

[19] J. Friedman, On the second eigenvalue and random walks in randomd-regular graphs, *Combinatorica* **11**, Number 4, (1991) 331-362.

[20] J. Friedman, *A Proof of Alon's Second Eigenvalue Conjecture and Related Problem*, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society 2008; 100 pp.

[21] Z. Füredi and J. Komlós. The eigenvalues of random symmetric matrices,*Combinatorica,* 1(3) 1981, 233-241.

[22] C. Godsil and G. Royle, Algebraic Graph Theory, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.

[23] S.-G. Hwang, Cauchy's interlace theorem for eigenvalues of Hermitian matrices, *Amer.Math. Monthly* **111** (2004), 157-159.

[24] G. O. H. Katona, A simple proof of the Erdős-Chao Ko-Rado theorem, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B* **13**: (1972) 183-184.

[25] M. Krivelevich and B. Sudakov, The largest eigenvalue of sparse random graphs, *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing,* **12** (2003), 61-72.

[26] L. Lu and X. Peng, High-Ordered Random Walks and Generalized Laplacians on Hypergraphs, in A. Frieze, P. Horn, P. Pralat (Eds.): *Algorithms and Models for the Web Graph - 8th International Workshop, (WAW 2011) Proceedings.* Lecture Notes in Computer Science **6732** Springer 2011, 14-25.

[27] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics IV: Analysis of Operators*, Academic Press, 1978.

[28] J. A. Rodríguez, On the Laplacian Spectrum and Walk-regular Hypergraphs, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra,* **51** (3) (2003), 285-297.

[29] J. A. Rodríguez, Laplacian eigenvalues and partition problems in hypergraphs, *Applied Mathematics Letters,* **22** (2009), 916-921.